UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/815,373 | 04/01/2004 | Aron Schatten | | 8253 |
| Anna Vishev, E | 7590 09/11/200 S q. | EXAMINER | | |
| Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP | | | COBURN, CORBETT B | |
| 1180 Avenue of The Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3714 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/815,373 | SCHATTEN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Corbett B. Coburn | 3714 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju | ne 2008. | | | | | |
| ·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9,13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | · | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | o□ | (PTO 440) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,373 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 & 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims contain a newly-added negative limitation "without identifying coordinates of any of said competing moving objects" and "without detecting coordinates of said visual pattern". Neither of these limitations is supported in the specification. Negative limitations must be explicitly supported in the specification. The specification does not mention coordinates at all. Furthermore, the specification makes it quite clear that the positions of the moving objects & visual patterns are detected. (Paragraph 0012) This is tantamount to detecting coordinates since a position is either a coordinate or can readily be converted to a coordinate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 & 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Comaniciu et al. (US Patent Number 6,590,999).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,373 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1, 10: Comaniciu teaches a system for monitoring and displaying positions of competing moving objects (i.e., members of competing sports teams). A unique identifier placed onto each competing moving object – every player on a football team has a number that uniquely identifies the player. (Fig 2) The identifier is configured to uniquely identify a position of said each competing moving object at any moment of time. Comaniciu teaches an identifier detection means configured to detect positions of said unique identifier. In Fig 2, Comaniciu shows that the system tracks the position of the selected player's identification number -- the area in the ellipse. There is a processor and a display. The detection means conveys detected positions of said unique identifier to said processor and the processor determines relative positions of the competing moving objects and wherein the processor transmits said determined relative positions to the display for displaying to observers. Comanicui does not detect the coordinates of the moving object or visual pattern. Camanicui's use of "coordinates" is strictly a mathematical construct & has nothing to do with detecting the position of objects on the field of play.

Claim 2: The unique identifier is a visual pattern (i.e., a number) and the identifier detection means is a camera.

Claim 3: The visual pattern comprises an identifiable color. Each team wears a different color. Comaniciu discloses that the system may identify different colors and textures.

(Abstract)

Application/Control Number: 10/815,373 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 4, 11: Clearly to be of any use in tracking sports players, the camera must detect the visual pattern of each competing moving object and its position relative to said visual patterns of other competing moving objects.

Claim 5: The camera conveys the detected visual pattern and the relative position to said processor. And the processor identifies each competing moving object using the detected visual pattern and determines relative positions of the competing moving objects.

Claim 6, 12: The processor runs visual pattern recognition software.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 13 June 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are drawn to the amended claims & are addressed in the rejection above.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/815,373

Art Unit: 3714

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett B. Coburn/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714 Page 5